Implications of laser-doping parameters and **contact opening size on contact resistivity** Jonas D. Huyeng<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Marco Ernst<sup>1</sup>, Kean Chern Fong<sup>1</sup>, Daniel Walter<sup>1</sup>, and Andrew Blakers<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Centre of Sustainable Energy Systems, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 2600, Australia (\*marco.ernst@anu.edu.au) <sup>2</sup>Fraunhofer Institute for Solar Energy Systems (ISE), Heidenhofstrasse 2, 79110 Freiburg, Germany

# **Derivation of an ohmic local contact analysis (OLCA) to determine the** contact resistivity of localized contacts with simple sample structure

#### Motivation

**D** Localized contacts

Important for advanced cell structures • Cannot be measured by transfer length method (**TLM**)

### **Numerical simulation**

• Quokka 3 simulation of ohmic structures

• Alternative method to determine contact resistivity  $\rho_c$ : **OLCA** 

## **Sample fabrication**

• Localized contacts with laser processing (Excimer laser) • Laser doping (LD): varying **fluence** and **size** • Laser contact opening (LCO): adjusting size • Boron (B) and Phosphorus (P) dopant sources



Microscope image illustrating the variation of laser-doping size (variable aperture) after laser-contact opening confined to a region smaller than the laser-doped areas.

#### • Parameter sweeps to determine critical parameters $\rho$ , **x**



## **Contact resistivity**

- Initial set of simulations
- **2** Compare R(N) measurements and simulation results
- **B** Perform additional **simulations**

![](_page_0_Figure_19.jpeg)

- **Localized contact** polarity = **base** polarity
- Large-area laser doping (stitched spots) to measure Sheet resistivity  $R_{\Box}$  (4PP) **Oppoint profile** n(z) (ECV)
- $\bigcirc$  LPCVD Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> for surface passivation
- **PVD** aluminium for electrode metallization

![](_page_0_Figure_24.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Figure_25.jpeg)

in relevant range

- Consistency check
  - Determine  $\rho_c$  only for spot size  $s = 30 \,\mu\text{m}$
  - Simulate all other spot sizes
  - Plot measurement (data) and simulation (**no fit**)

After forming gas anneal, 300 °C:

![](_page_0_Figure_32.jpeg)

	Boron		Phosphorus	
Fluence <i>\phi</i>	<b>R</b> _	$ ho_{C}$	<b>R</b> _	$ ho_{C}$
(J/cm <sup>2</sup> )	<b>(Ω/□)</b>	(m $\Omega$ cm <sup>2</sup> )	<b>(Ω/□)</b>	(m $\Omega$ cm <sup>2</sup> )
1.3	97 ± 9	0.42	186 ± 20	0.35
2.1	36 ± 4	0.04	60 ± 7	0.07

![](_page_0_Figure_34.jpeg)

Sketch of the sample structure: Localized contacts are placed collinearly and equidistant under electrodes with defined spacing.

## Conclusion

• OLCA method was successfully applied

**Example 2** Localized contacts with PVD AI show low  $\rho_c < 0.1 \text{ m}\Omega \text{ cm}^2$ 

• Comparable to similar large area dopings (TLM)

Method can be applied to other fabrication methods, such as screen-printed metallization

![](_page_0_Picture_41.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Picture_42.jpeg)

This work has been supported by the Australian Government through the Australian Renewable Energy Agency (ARENA). Responsibility for the views, information or advice expressed herein is not accepted by the Australian Government

![](_page_0_Picture_44.jpeg)

Conference Photovoltaic Energy Conversion (WCPEC-7) JUNE 10-15, 2018 WAIKOLOA, HAWAII

**Download the poster and paper under** 

http://users.cecs.anu.edu.au/~marco.ernst/

![](_page_0_Picture_48.jpeg)